

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/805,290		03/13/2001	Sandra Bezemer	F7526(V)	F7526(V) 1258	
201	7590	02/16/2006		EXAM	INER	
UNILEVE	R INTEL	LECTUAL PROPI	DIBRINO, MAI	DIBRINO, MARIANNE NMN		
700 SYLVA	N AVEN	UE,				
BLDG C2 SOUTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS. NJ 07632-3100				1644	-	

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/805,290	BEZEMER ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
,	DiBrino Marianne	1644						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no								
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 11 January 2006. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.						
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 112, second paragraph rejection of record over claim 4.								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-5,9 and 10</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>6-8,11 and 12</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	it before on an the date of filling a	lating of American March						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is necessary						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's proposed claim amendment to claim 4 would not overcome the 112, first paragraph rejections of record as pertains to an antibody or fragment thereof that specifically binds human pancreatic lipase and comprises a CDR3 from the sequences recited in instant claim 4, nor would Applicant's proposed claim amendments to base claim 1 as pertains to an antibody binding any human dietary lipase, except for an antibody against HPL or HGL, for the reasons of record in the Office Action mailed 7/12/2005. In addition, Applicant's arguments would not overcome the 103(a) rejections of record for the following reasons. It is the Examiner's position that the art references provide a reasonable expectation of success in producting the claimed invention because WO 99/46300 teaches that VHHs are more stable against destabilizing physical and chemical conditions than mouse monoclonal antibodies and teaches that it is advantageious to use them in food products; it further teaches that VHHs can be produced that bind specifically to and neutralize enzymes. In addition, US 6,558,936 B1 discloses using neutralizing anti-human pancreatic lipase antibodies for treatment of hyperlipidemia, atherosclerosis, diabetes and obesity, and Aoubala et al teach making anti-human pancreatic mAbs that inhibit the lipolytic activity of human pancreatic lipase.

CHRISTINA CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600